















1. How do you prefer to hear about Local Trust Committee business? (select all that apply)

		Response Percent	Response Count
By visiting the website		40.7%	11
Email updates (subscriber)		66.7%	18
Newspaper - Pender Post		55.6%	15
Newspaper - Island Tides		25.9%	7
Newspaper - Driftwood		0.0%	0
Newspaper - other		0.0%	0
Conversations with a Local Trustee		33.3%	9
Conversations with staff (phone or office hours)		3.7%	1
From Trustee Newsletters sent by mail		29.6%	8
Posted notices		3.7%	1
Word of mouth		3.7%	1
Attend the Local Trust Committee meetings		29.6%	8
	Other (please specify)		0
		answered question	27
		skipped question	1






2. Do you read the monthly Pender Post report from the Local Trustees summarizing their activities?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Always		40.7%	11
Regularly		37.0%	10
Rarely		18.5%	5
Never		3.7%	1
answered question			27
skipped question			1







3. Are there changes you would suggest to make the Pender Post reports from the Local Trust Committee more valuable to you?

	Response Count
	6
answered question	6
skipped question	22






4. What is your preferred method of contact with the Local Trustees?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Phone		25.9%	7
Email		92.6%	25
Letter		3.7%	1
Attending Local Trust Committee meetings		25.9%	7
Face to face conversations		25.9%	7
I don't like to contact Trustees directly		0.0%	0
	Other (please specify)		1
		answered question	27
		skipped question	1








5. What is your preferred method of contact with the Islands Trust staff?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Phone		37.5%	9
Email		83.3%	20
Letter		8.3%	2
Attending Local Trust Committee meetings		12.5%	3
Face to face conversations (on-island office hours)		8.3%	2
I don't like to contact staff directly		4.2%	1
	Other (please specify)		4
answered question			24
skipped question			4

6. The Local Trust Committee would like to explore using on-line surveys as a way to get input on community issues. How frequently would you respond to an on-line survey from the Local Trust Committee?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Almost always (more than 5 times a year)		50.0%	14
Frequently (3-4 times a year)		17.9%	5
Regularly (2-3 times a year)		17.9%	5
Occasionally (1-2 times a year)		7.1%	2
Almost never		7.1%	2
answered question			28
skipped question			0

7. How did you hear about this survey? (select all that apply)

		Response Percent	Response Count
By visiting the website		15.4%	4
Email updates (subscriber)		42.3%	11
Pender Post Report		23.1%	6
Conversations with a Local Trustee		11.5%	3
Conversations with staff		3.8%	1
From the Trustee Newsletter		23.1%	6
Word of mouth		7.7%	2
	Other (please specify)		1
answered question			26
skipped question			2

8. For the following list of topics, please indicate if you think the Islands Trust is doing enough to address them:

	Too much	Enough	Not enough	Uncertain/No comment	Response Count
Promoting environmental stewardship and education	7.7% (2)	69.2% (18)	15.4% (4)	7.7% (2)	26
Enforcing bylaws	0.0% (0)	65.4% (17)	15.4% (4)	19.2% (5)	26
Ensuring open and transparent process and decision making by government	0.0% (0)	76.9% (20)	11.5% (3)	11.5% (3)	26
Protecting sensitive ecosystems and habitat	7.7% (2)	50.0% (13)	26.9% (7)	15.4% (4)	26
Protecting the shoreline and coastal environment	8.0% (2)	52.0% (13)	24.0% (6)	16.0% (4)	25
Protecting the marine environment	4.0% (1)	52.0% (13)	24.0% (6)	20.0% (5)	25
Advocacy work to other levels of government	8.0% (2)	44.0% (11)	12.0% (3)	36.0% (9)	25
Addressing economic development	0.0% (0)	50.0% (13)	30.8% (8)	19.2% (5)	26
Protecting vegetation (tree removal)	15.4% (4)	46.2% (12)	26.9% (7)	11.5% (3)	26
Fostering community discussions	0.0% (0)	61.5% (16)	30.8% (8)	7.7% (2)	26
Promoting alternative forms of transportation	8.3% (2)	50.0% (12)	25.0% (6)	16.7% (4)	24
			Other (please specify)		3
answered question					27
skipped question					1

9. The South Pender Island Land Use Bylaw is the primary regulatory bylaw for land use on South Pender. Please indicate how you feel about proposed amendments to the Land Use Bylaw currently under consideration:

	Would support	Conditional support	Neutral	Would have some concerns	Would not support	Response Count
Allowing ocean-based geothermal systems for residential purposes	40.7% (11)	25.9% (7)	7.4% (2)	22.2% (6)	3.7% (1)	27
Allowing storage buildings on a lot without a residence	46.2% (12)	34.6% (9)	0.0% (0)	19.2% (5)	0.0% (0)	26
Measuring the floor area from the inside walls rather than the outside (this would be most applicable for cottages and accessory buildings that are limited in size and benefit owners wanting to use alternative building materials such as straw bale)	55.6% (15)	29.6% (8)	14.8% (4)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	27
answered question						27
skipped question						1



10. Please indicate how you feel about possible amendments to the Land Use Bylaw that have been raised in previous discussions:

	Would support	Conditional support	Neutral	Would have some concerns	Would not support	Response Count
Requiring rainwater catchment systems with new construction	50.0% (13)	26.9% (7)	11.5% (3)	7.7% (2)	3.8% (1)	26
Limiting the extent of impermeable surfacing on a lot	44.0% (11)	28.0% (7)	8.0% (2)	12.0% (3)	8.0% (2)	25
Limiting the maximum floor area of a dwelling	23.1% (6)	38.5% (10)	7.7% (2)	7.7% (2)	23.1% (6)	26
Where two or more dwellings are permitted on a lot, allowing them to be attached	36.0% (9)	40.0% (10)	12.0% (3)	12.0% (3)	0.0% (0)	25
answered question						26
skipped question						2

11. Do you think the current regulations are restrictive enough for the following Land Use Bylaw regulations?

	Too restrictive		Appropriate		Not restrictive enough	Rating Average	Response Count
Height of accessory buildings (4.6m or ~15ft)	37.0% (10)	7.4% (2)	55.6% (15)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.19	27
Floor area for accessory buildings (ranges from 70m2 or ~750ft2 to 372m2 or ~4000ft2)	25.0% (6)	12.5% (3)	50.0% (12)	12.5% (3)	0.0% (0)	2.50	24
Allowing cottages (Rural Residential zones require 2 acres or more)	19.2% (5)	7.7% (2)	65.4% (17)	0.0% (0)	7.7% (2)	2.69	26
Setback to the sea (7.6m or ~25ft)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	70.4% (19)	11.1% (3)	18.5% (5)	3.48	27
Other (please specify)							6
answered question							27
skipped question							1

12. Have you heard of "Green Shores" as a new approach for shorelines?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		44.4%	12
No		55.6%	15
answered question			27
skipped question			1



13. Would you prefer regulations restricting use of the shoreline so that they are kept visually natural with native vegetation, or allow shoreline development such that buildings and structures could be visible along the shore with vegetation greatly reduced or altered?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Kept undeveloped as possible		50.0%	13
Neutral		15.4%	4
Allow development		34.6%	9
	Other (please specify)		2
answered question			26
skipped question			2

14. Waterfront property owners often have concerns with natural erosion of the shoreline. Generally, do you support the use of erosion protection structures (ie; seawalls, breakwaters etc) to prevent further erosion or prefer to 'let nature take its course'?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Support protection measures		48.0%	12
Neutral		24.0%	6
Let nature takes its course		28.0%	7
	Other (please specify)		9
answered question			25
skipped question			3

15. Currently the Land Use Bylaw allows a set of stairs to access the foreshore with no specific restrictions. Do you think a set of stairs to the foreshore should be regulated for a maximum width and height?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		32.0%	8
No		68.0%	17
	Other (please specify)		3
answered question			25
skipped question			3



16. What is the most important aspect or feature of the shoreline to you?

	Response Count
	18
answered question	18
skipped question	10



17. Do you have any specific concerns about the use and development of South Pender's shoreline?

	Response Count
	16
answered question	16
skipped question	12



18. Do you think the Local Trust Committee should do more to protect the shoreline?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		63.6%	14
No		36.4%	8
	Other (please specify)		5
answered question			22
skipped question			6

19. Do you feel adequately informed about what measures you could do around your home and property to to prevent forest fires?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		95.7%	22
No		4.3%	1
	Other (please specify)		2
answered question			23
skipped question			5




20. Do you feel you know what to do in the event of a forest fire?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		91.7%	22
No		8.3%	2
Other (please specify)			4
answered question			24
skipped question			4

21. Do you have any other concerns or suggestions you think the Local Trust Committee should consider?

	Response Count
	11
answered question	11
skipped question	17

22. Do you live on South Pender?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Full-time		48.1%	13
Part-time / seasonal		29.6%	8
Short, periodic visits only		22.2%	6
answered question			27
skipped question			1





23. If you live on South Pender full-time, what year did you move here?

	Response Count
	13
answered question	13
skipped question	15



24. If you live on South Pender part-time or periodically, what year did you start visiting regularly?

	Response Count
	15
answered question	15
skipped question	13





25. As a citizen, how engaged with local issues do you consider yourself?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Highly engaged		11.5%	3
Engaged regularly with select topics		80.8%	21
Unsure		3.8%	1
Rarely engaged		3.8%	1
Not engaged		0.0%	0
	answered question		26
	skipped question		2

26. What is your gender?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Female		38.5%	10
Male		61.5%	16
answered question			26
skipped question			2

27. What is your age category?

		Response Percent	Response Count
25 yrs or less		0.0%	0
26-40 yrs		3.8%	1
41-55 yrs		26.9%	7
56-69 yrs		46.2%	12
70 yrs or more		23.1%	6
answered question			26
skipped question			2

Page 2, Q3. Are there changes you would suggest to make the Pender Post reports from the Local Trust Committee more valuable to you?

1	Put it online.	Aug 31, 2012 3:06 AM
2	I never really understood the mandate and don't know who to contact	Jul 4, 2012 4:11 PM
3	Concentrate on local issues and try to present the issues being discussed	Jun 20, 2012 10:27 PM
4	Less personal reflection and comments	May 31, 2012 2:57 PM
5	No	May 26, 2012 4:20 PM
6	No	May 19, 2012 12:49 PM

Page 2, Q4. What is your preferred method of contact with the Local Trustees?

1	don't know yet	Jun 30, 2012 2:46 PM
---	----------------	----------------------

Page 2, Q5. What is your preferred method of contact with the Islands Trust staff?

1	don't know yet	Jun 30, 2012 2:46 PM
2	Any interactuin I've had has been totally arrogant and not at all receptive of the views of the people of Pender	Jun 20, 2012 10:27 PM
3	I have never contacted the staff, so I do not have a preffered method at this time.	Jun 8, 2012 8:09 PM
4	So far, I haven't contacted the staff.	May 18, 2012 9:33 PM

Page 2, Q7. How did you hear about this survey? (select all that apply)

1	letter from Trustees	May 31, 2012 10:14 AM
---	----------------------	-----------------------

Page 2, Q8. For the following list of topics, please indicate if you think the Islands Trust is doing enough to address them:

1	We are new (6 months), we don't know yet	Jun 30, 2012 2:46 PM
2	Establish yardsticks (metrics) to measure "Preserve and Protect" mandate.	Jun 18, 2012 2:52 PM
3	So many uncertain's, as I've just signed on to email updates.	May 18, 2012 9:33 PM

Page 2, Q11. Do you think the current regulations are restrictive enough for the following Land Use Bylaw regulations?

1	There should be more flexibility regarding the maximum sq. ft. of a cottage	Aug 29, 2012 11:22 PM
2	These all depend on the size of the lot.	Jun 20, 2012 10:27 PM
3	Where a cottage (600) is allowed along with outbuildings (1000), change to allow 1600 sf with no more than 600 in a cottage,	Jun 20, 2012 10:22 PM
4	Full time rental of cottage concerns us, as it will likely increase density in long term	Jun 18, 2012 2:52 PM
5	For clarification on item 3 above, you should define what is meant by cottage in this context. Likewise any other terms that the ordinary reader may not precisely understand.	May 25, 2012 3:06 PM
6	Don't yet know enough about the issues in Questions 9, 10, and 11.	May 18, 2012 9:33 PM

Page 2, Q13. Would you prefer regulations restricting use of the shoreline so that they are be kept visually natural with native vegetation, or allow shoreline development such that buildings and structures could be visible along the shore with vegetation greatly reduced or altered?

1	The question more is the effects of erosion after vegetation has been removed	Jun 20, 2012 10:27 PM
2	Mostly undeveloped, but some carefully evaluated development may be appropriate.	May 18, 2012 9:33 PM

Page 2, Q14. Waterfront property owners often have concerns with natural erosion of the shoreline. Generally, do you support the use of erosion protection structures (ie; seawalls, breakwaters etc) to prevent further erosion or prefer to 'let nature take its course'?

1	depends on location and nature of erosion	Aug 31, 2012 3:06 AM
2	Design guidelines would need to be developed regarding the design of seawalls and break walls	Aug 29, 2012 11:22 PM
3	As above, if we let nature take its course we would NOT alter the foreshore	Jun 20, 2012 10:27 PM
4	Review each application	Jun 18, 2012 2:52 PM
5	Further to my comment in #11, it might be useful to have a prefatory explanatory paragraph with some or all of the questions. In this case, the average reader may not be aware that seawalls and breakwaters can be ecologically destructive.	May 25, 2012 3:06 PM
6	effect of seawalls on adjacent shoreline must be considered	May 23, 2012 10:09 AM
7	restrict tree cutting	May 22, 2012 9:11 AM
8	but use natural materials and keep a natural look, mandate native plants	May 21, 2012 11:41 PM
9	It depends on location.	May 18, 2012 4:10 PM

Page 2, Q15. Currently the Land Use Bylaw allows a set of stairs to access the foreshore with no specific restrictions. Do you think a set of stairs to the foreshore should be regulated for a maximum width and height?

1	depends on location	Aug 31, 2012 3:06 AM
2	And restrict where those structures end at the foreshore,i.e. not cross it	Jun 20, 2012 10:27 PM
3	OK within limits	Jun 18, 2012 2:52 PM

Page 2, Q16. What is the most important aspect or feature of the shoreline to you?

1	nature's interaction of plants and animals	Aug 31, 2012 3:06 AM
2	Public Accessibility	Aug 29, 2012 11:22 PM
3	access	Jul 4, 2012 4:11 PM
4	Accessibility	Jul 1, 2012 10:01 AM
5	Accessibility	Jun 30, 2012 4:39 PM
6	minimizing environmental impact of development	Jun 30, 2012 2:46 PM
7	Its naturalness	Jun 20, 2012 10:27 PM
8	natural look	Jun 18, 2012 2:52 PM
9	Public access	Jun 8, 2012 8:09 PM
10	Public ownership and access	May 31, 2012 2:57 PM
11	Public Access to beaches	May 26, 2012 4:20 PM
12	Natural state including nearshore ecosystems.	May 25, 2012 3:06 PM
13	undisturbed and natural	May 23, 2012 4:48 PM
14	natural	May 23, 2012 10:09 AM
15	natural views	May 22, 2012 9:11 AM
16	natural as possible look from the water	May 21, 2012 11:41 PM
17	Habitat for marine life	May 19, 2012 12:49 PM
18	No disturbance of intertidal zone	May 18, 2012 4:10 PM

Page 2, Q17. Do you have any specific concerns about the use and development of South Pender's shoreline?

1	Do not want it to become cluttered with too many individual structures.	Aug 31, 2012 3:06 AM
2	access for immobile persons	Jul 4, 2012 4:11 PM
3	No	Jul 1, 2012 10:01 AM
4	NO	Jun 30, 2012 4:39 PM
5	no	Jun 30, 2012 2:46 PM
6	Docks crossing the shoreline	Jun 20, 2012 10:27 PM
7	Avoid the look of Village Bay on Mayne	Jun 18, 2012 2:52 PM
8	There could be more public access, camping and hiking.	Jun 8, 2012 8:09 PM
9	Just leave present bylaws as they are	May 26, 2012 4:20 PM
10	Erosion and overhanging large trees being eroded	May 23, 2012 4:48 PM
11	should be accessible re beach constructions and lots of Ocean Accesses	May 23, 2012 10:09 AM
12	Large "showplace" houses that don't fit the environment	May 22, 2012 12:24 PM
13	Shoreline should be kept as natural as possible	May 22, 2012 9:11 AM
14	traffic	May 20, 2012 8:46 AM
15	Interference with habitat for marine life	May 19, 2012 12:49 PM
16	Not at this time.	May 18, 2012 9:33 PM

Page 2, Q18. Do you think the Local Trust Committee should do more to protect the shoreline?

1	I am not informed on this	Jun 30, 2012 2:46 PM
2	Refer to the prograams in Whatcom County and the SAn Juans	Jun 20, 2012 10:27 PM
3	provide owners with information about how to protect the shore from erosion	Jun 20, 2012 10:22 PM
4	Educate	Jun 18, 2012 2:52 PM
5	Don't know enough about what is presently done.	May 18, 2012 9:33 PM

Page 2, Q19. Do you feel adequately informed about what measures you could do around your home and property to to prevent forest fires?

1	There's always more to be learned from experts	Aug 31, 2012 3:06 AM
---	--	----------------------

Page 2, Q19. Do you feel adequately informed about what measures you could do around your home and property to to prevent forest fires?

2	Not sure	Jun 18, 2012 2:52 PM
---	----------	----------------------

Page 2, Q20. Do you feel you know what to do in the event of a forest fire?

1	Not enough	Aug 31, 2012 3:06 AM
---	------------	----------------------

2	Call 911?	Jun 18, 2012 2:52 PM
---	-----------	----------------------

3	No specific actions have been publisized in my area	May 23, 2012 10:09 AM
---	---	-----------------------

4	Not sure of current community plan.	May 18, 2012 4:10 PM
---	-------------------------------------	----------------------

Page 2, Q21. Do you have any other concerns or suggestions you think the Local Trust Committee should consider?

1	job descriptions would be nice so I know what is expected of you	Jul 4, 2012 4:11 PM
2	too many rules and regulations seem to be evolving..leaving the owner at the mercy of the rules	Jul 3, 2012 8:20 AM
3	No	Jul 1, 2012 10:01 AM
4	The growth of the Trust bureaucray	Jun 20, 2012 10:27 PM
5	Yes, use and existence of secondary cottages not originally approved	Jun 18, 2012 2:52 PM
6	Connections of hiking trails to create longer potential walks and hikes. Connecting our parks.	Jun 8, 2012 8:09 PM
7	Proliferation of signs	May 31, 2012 2:57 PM
8	No	May 26, 2012 4:20 PM
9	promote pan-island trail network, some for bikes	May 23, 2012 10:09 AM
10	Advocate making the waterway between N & S PI a "No Wake" zone - and enforcing it!	May 19, 2012 12:49 PM
11	Not yet. With more time, perhaps.	May 18, 2012 9:33 PM

Page 2, Q23. If you live on South Pender full-time, what year did you move here?

1	1989	Jul 3, 2012 8:20 AM
2	1990	Jul 1, 2012 10:01 AM
3	1990	Jun 30, 2012 4:39 PM
4	late 2011	Jun 30, 2012 2:46 PM
5	2004	Jun 20, 2012 10:27 PM
6	2005	Jun 20, 2012 11:05 AM
7	2010	Jun 8, 2012 8:09 PM
8	1999	Jun 8, 2012 8:03 PM
9	1999	May 26, 2012 4:20 PM
10	2008	May 23, 2012 4:48 PM
11	2004	May 23, 2012 10:09 AM
12	1984	May 22, 2012 9:11 AM
13	North Pender	May 20, 2012 8:46 AM

Page 2, Q24. If you live on South Pender part-time or periodically, what year did you start visiting regularly?

1	1976	Aug 31, 2012 3:06 AM
2	2006	Aug 29, 2012 11:22 PM
3	1979	Jul 1, 2012 10:01 AM
4	1991	Jun 20, 2012 10:27 PM
5	1988	Jun 18, 2012 2:52 PM
6	1981	May 31, 2012 2:57 PM
7	1994	May 31, 2012 10:14 AM
8	1976	May 26, 2012 4:20 PM
9	1979	May 25, 2012 3:06 PM
10	1977	May 25, 2012 12:53 PM
11	2004	May 23, 2012 10:09 AM
12	1995	May 19, 2012 12:49 PM
13	2012	May 18, 2012 9:33 PM
14	1968	May 18, 2012 5:49 PM
15	1976	May 18, 2012 4:10 PM