

From: Shawna Barrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, October 20, 2020 9:41 AM
To: npltcwebmail <npltcwebmail@islandstrust.bc.ca>
Subject: Land Use Bylaw

I do not believe that placing size restrictions on new residential builds is appropriate or necessary in a low density community such as ours.

The current building code, set back requirements, diverse shape and sizes of lots and topography are challenge enough when planning a new build.

Implementing more restrictions is unnecessary, costly, and punitive to potential buyers, sellers and existing owners if they are non confirming.

Our demographic is changing.

More family and multi generational homes require a larger footprint. Covid is changing how and where we work. Home offices and studios will be the new norm.

I live in an 800 square foot energy efficient small home. I live next to a multi level sprawling pink castle. The castle was here first and it did not dissuade me from building next door. I find it charming and eclectic.

Last but not least, I do not believe the Islands Trust should be in a position of granting or rejecting variances. I believe this could be a conflict of interest as listening to the meeting it is apparent that at least one of the trustees has a personal bias toward large houses.

Please leave as is.

Thank you,
Shawna Barrett
[REDACTED]

The Property Owners

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

October 20, 2020

Local Trustee Ben McConchie
Local Trustee Deb Morrison
c/o North Pender Island Local Trust Committee, Islands Trust

Via email: dmorrison@islandstrust.bc.ca, bemconchie@islandstrust.bc.ca
CC: npltcwebmail@islandstrust.bc.ca, vivmitchell@shaw.ca, m.timmins987@gmail.com

Re: Land Use Bylaw Review - Industrial Regulation Review

Dear Trustees:

Following up the North Pender LTC Special / CIM Meeting on October 3, we are writing to provide feedback on the Industrial Regulation Land Use Bylaw review process that the committee is currently undertaking.

On page 12 of the *Industrial Land Use Review Discussion Paper*, it states that “There is also a portion of a rural lot designated for future industrial, but is used residentially with no anticipated future industrial use.” The lot in question is no doubt at our address, 3330 Port Washington Road. The statement above is erroneous, and we do not understand how this was concluded as we have had no discussions with the Islands Trust about future use of this site.

As you know, the southern portion of 3330 Port Washington Road is designated for industrial use in the Official Community Plan, and zoned rural. We purchased the property two years ago, and we do have intentions for light industrial use on the site. This piece of land is not suitable for any other use – it cannot support housing, growing food or farming. It is a former rock quarry bordered by two other industrial sites.

We would like to discuss with you the plans we are investigating for future light industrial development on this site, and as such we are requesting that you please include this piece of land in the current industrial land use bylaw review. We look forward to your reply.

Sincerely,

Sara Miles

Mike Timmins

Vivian Mitchell